

Algorithm-based monitoring of intensive care ventilation using electrical impedance tomography

Contents

| Preface | 4 |
|--|----|
| Evaluating potential contraindications | 7 |
| Step 1: Evaluate potential contraindications | 7 |
| Preparing the patient for EIT monitoring | |
| Step 2: Apply measurement tape | 8 |
| Step 3 : Measure patient | 9 |
| Step 4: Determine SensorBelt size | 10 |
| Step 5: Choose the SensorBelt | 11 |
| Step 6 : Prepare the foam (ContactAgent) | 12 |
| Step 7 : Apply the foam | 13 |
| Step 8: Position the SensorBelt | 14 |
| Step 9 : Fit the SensorBelt (1) | 15 |
| Step 10 : Fit the SensorBelt (2) | 16 |
| Step 11 : Secure the SensorBelt | 17 |
| Step 12 : Attach the SensorBelt (1) | 18 |
| Step 13 : Attach the SensorBelt (2) | 19 |
| Step 14: Start up the SensorBelt | 20 |
| Step 15: Indicator light on SensorBelt | 21 |
| Configuring the intensive care ventilator elisa 800 ^{VIT} | 22 |
| Step 16 : Activate EIT | 22 |
| Step 17 : Enter patient data | 23 |
| Step 18: Minimize sources of interference | 24 |
| Step 19: Check SensorBelt and settings | 25 |
| Evaluating results | |
| Step 20 : Evaluate regional ventilation distribution | 26 |
| Step 21 : Evaluate different ventilation distribution between | |
| the two lungs | 27 |
| Step 22 : Analyse "Stretch" view | 28 |

| | Step 23 : Evaluate discrepancies in stretch distribution: | |
|----|--|----|
| | U-shaped, or are all the bars of approximately the same height? | |
| | | 29 |
| | Step 24 : Evaluate discrepancies in stretch distribution: | 30 |
| | Accelerating | 30 |
| | Step 25 : Evaluate discrepancies in stretch distribution: | 31 |
| | Decelerating | 31 |
| | Step 26 : Analyse SilentSpaces: Gravity-independent form | 32 |
| | Step 27: Analyse SilentSpaces: Gravity-dependent form | 33 |
| | Step 28 : Analyse SilentSpaces: Bilateral form | 34 |
| T | erminating EIT monitoring | 35 |
| | Last step: Terminate EIT monitoring | 35 |
| F/ | AQ: Practical Q&A | 36 |
| | Contraindications | 36 |
| | Potential complications and sources of error | 36 |
| | SensorBelt | 38 |
| | Interpretation | 39 |
| S | elected studies | 41 |
| | Basics | 41 |
| | Optimising ventilation settings | 41 |
| | Imaging of regional lung function | 42 |
| | Patient positioning | 42 |
| | EIT validation | 43 |
| | | |

Preface

The causes of acute respiratory failure are complex and often lead to structural lung impairments that make the use of ventilation therapy a life-saving necessity. Due to gravitational effects, regional surfactant defects, and the uneven distribution of atelectases, this results in the inhomogeneous distribution of regional ventilation.

Adjusting the ventilation to a patient's individual regional lung function is a highly complex task that must be regularly evaluated. Nevertheless, such an evaluation is essential because "lung-protective" ventilation reduces the mortality of patients with acute lung injury (ALI).

The individual adjustment of the positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) is key to optimised ventilation. It is quite a challenge to find the best PEEP level for patients with acute respiratory failure to avoid atelectases and alveolar over-distension. Furthermore, the optimally adjusted PEEP changes continuously with the lung function that is affected by disease and therapeutic measures. The PEEP level, therefore, has to be re-evaluated on a regular basis.

An optimally adjusted PEEP is a fundamental prerequisite for lung-protective ventilation. It reduces cyclic, tidal recruitment of lung regions and leads to a more homogeneous distribution of ventilation and perfusion in the lung. When PEEP values are too low, lung areas may be damaged by the formation of atelectasis, while excessive PEEP values can cause over-distension.

Various bedside methods can be used for optimising the PEEP setting. The most commonly used approaches include low-flow pressure volume curves, stress index, PEEP trial and the PEEP/FIO, table. They all share the limitation that they cannot display the regionally inhomogeneous ventilation distribution. Radiological technologies such as chest X-rays, computed tomography, pulmonary ultrasound and less commonly, magnetic resonance tomography are also employed, but can only depict the pulmonary status at a specific point in time.

Chest X-rays performed bedside have the least significance among these methods. In some cases, they only show large pulmonary lesions. The effort associated with performing a CT or MRI examination of ventilated intensive-care patients is enormous and also represents a major risk for patients with unstable respiration. Furthermore, CT examinations create significant radiation exposure levels for patients. Despite all these limitations for use with ventilated intensive-care patients, CT is currently the only method that allows for optimising ventilation settings in relation to the regional lung function, which means that patients with severe respiratory failure must be subjected to CT examinations to optimise their ventilation settings.

Electrical impedance tomography (EIT) for the first time offers a bedside method for reliable non-invasive, continuous determination of the regional lung function without radiation exposure. In contrast to other medical imaging methods, EIT displays body functions instead of body structures. It provides real-time images, e.g. for monitoring ventilation, perfusion or gas exchange.